

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/087,359 03/01/2002 Toshihiro Yoshida 791_188 3319 25191 7590 11/01/2004 **EXAMINER BURR & BROWN** YUAN, DAH WEI D PO BOX 7068 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER SYRACUSE, NY 13261-7068 1745

DATE MAILED: 11/01/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			7.
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary	10/087,359	YOSHIDA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Dah-Wei D. Yuan	1745	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address	;
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above, is less than thirty (30) days, a n - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stat Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re- eply within the statutory minimum of thirty od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON' tute, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed r (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communi ANDONED (35 U.S.C. & 133)	cation.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20	Δυσυετ 2004	•	
	nis action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow		ers prosecution as to the meri	ite ie
closed in accordance with the practice under			10 10
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 20,21,23-46 and 54 is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) 20,21 and 29-46 is 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 23-28 and 54 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	/are withdrawn from conside	ration.	
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.		
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 01 March 2002 is/are	: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ obje	ected to by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyand	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the I		\	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Apiority documents have been rau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	plication No eceived in this National Stage	;
Attachment(s)			
) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Su		
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 		/Mail Date ormal Patent Application (PTO-152) -·	

Application/Control Number: 10/087,359

Art Unit: 1745

ELECTRODE BODY EVALUATION METHOD AND LITHIUM SECONDARY CELL USING THE SAME

Examiner: Yuan

S.N. 10/087,359

Art Unit: 1745

October 22, 2004

Detailed Action

- 1. The Applicant's amendment filed on August 20, 2004 was received. The abstract of the invention was changed and specification was amended. Claims 1-19,22,47-53 were cancelled. Claims 23-28 were amended. Claim 54 was added.
- 2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S.C. code not included in this action can be found in the prior Office Action issued April 22, 2004.

Specification

3. The amendment filed August 20, 2004 is objected to under 35 U.S.C. 132 because it introduces new matter into the disclosure. 35 U.S.C. 132 states that no amendment shall introduce new matter into the disclosure of the invention. The added material which is not supported by the original disclosure is as follows: a ratio of limit discharging current to said cell capacity is at least 30.

Applicant is required to cancel the new matter in the reply to this Office Action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it

Art Unit: 1745

pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

- 5. Claim 54 and dependent claims 23-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. The recitation "a ratio of limit discharging current to said cell capacity is at least 30" is not disclosed in the instant specification. If applicant believes said terms are fully defined, it is requested that applicant indicates column and line, and/or figure with number, identifying the support of the limitation.
- 6. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 7. Claims 54 and dependent claims 23-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 8. The terms "ring-shaped" and "chain-shaped" in claim 54 are relative terms which render the claims indefinite. For the interest of compact prosecution, claim 54 is examined as reciting "...a composition having at least one ring carbonate and at least two chain carbonates...".

Art Unit: 1745

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102/103

- 9. The claim rejections under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Higuchi et al. on claims 22-25,27,28 are withdrawn, because the independent claim 22 has been cancelled.
- 10. The claim rejections under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Ondeck et al. on claims 22-25,27,28 are withdrawn, because the independent claim 22 has been cancelled.
- 11. The claim rejections under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Hommura et al. on claims 22-24,26,28 are withdrawn, because the independent claim 22 has been cancelled.

Response to Arguments

12. Applicant's arguments filed on August 20, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant's principle arguments are

None of the references discloses that the capacity of the lithium secondary cell is at least 2 Ah and a ratio of limit discharging current to the cell capacity is at least 30.

Application/Control Number: 10/087,359

Art Unit: 1745

In response to Applicant's arguments, please consider the following comments.

Page 4 of 5

The instant disclosure only teaches the limit discharge current is ranging from 250-1000A. See Tables 1-3. However, there is no support to substantiate the recited ratio between the limit discharging current and the cell capacity. The Examiner reserves the rights to reinstate the previous claim rejections if the new matter is canceled.

Conclusion

13. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dah-Wei D. Yuan whose telephone number is (571) 272-1295. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (8:00-5:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick J. Ryan, can be reached on (571) 272-1292. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Delive /_

Dah-Wei D. Yuan October 22, 2004